

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,576	FUWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

(3) _____.

 (2) Heribert Muenster (attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

33

Prior art documents discussed:

Semel

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner requested to cancel claim 33 in view of Semel's reference but no decision can be made at the time.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)